PRESIDENT TRUMP AND THE CORRUPT INTELLIGENCE AGENCIES

“It is time for every man to become his own intelligence analyst.”
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President Elect Donald Trump now knows from direct personal experience that the product of United States intelligence agencies is the result of political processes for elite political purposes. If any benefits from “intelligence” activities trickle down to the general population, it is a coincidental unintended consequence. There may be advanced technology in the hands of intelligence agencies, but there is no objective science of intelligence analysis used by any United States Intelligence Agency to determine the meaning of information.

The foundation of the comprehensive corruption, subversion and diversion was laid in the creation of the Office of Strategic Services at the beginning of World War II. Prior to World War II, the only United States intelligence collection and analysis was intermittent code breaking efforts by the State Department and branches of the Military. When President Roosevelt decided there was a need for a unified national intelligence service, there were no experienced intelligence managers or field agents and no existing intelligence training programs.

The solution was to adopt British intelligence management practices and send everyone selected for positions in the new United States intelligence apparatus to British intelligence training programs. I summarized the British approach to conducting intelligence operations in my 1978 Masters Thesis, *The Organization of Intelligence: The Case of the Allies Against the Axis in World War II* (available free at [http://thesociologycenter.com/EighthCity/MastersThesis.html](http://thesociologycenter.com/EighthCity/MastersThesis.html)):

“Decision Makers

Great Britain. In Britain’s 500 years of experience with intelligence operations, two factors had become dominant: it was a game reserved for the elite and no activity was to be ruled out if it was necessary to preserve the Empire. World War II was not a break in this pattern.

"The men who had been charged with this seemingly impossible task [Plan Jael] were, of course of several minds; but they appeared united by a single factor--class. Deception, like intelligence, was the pursuit of gentlemen. Colonel Bevan, the chief of the LCS [London Controlling Section], was a son-in-law of the Earl of Lucan and a grandson of the founder of Barclay’s Bank. Bevan's deputy and the author of Plan Jael, Colonel Sir Ronald Evelyn Leslie Wingate, was the son of Wingate Pasha of the Anglo-Egyptian Sudan and a cousin of both Lawrence of Arabia..."
and Wingate of Burma. The other members of the LCS and of the secret agencies associated with it included financiers, politicians, diplomats, scientists, writers, artists—men in London, Washington, the Mediterranean, India and Southeast Asia with connections and a talent for special means. Above them all was Churchill himself. As Wingate would later write: 'It was Churchill who had all the ideas. It was his drive, his brilliant imagination, and his technical knowledge that initiated all these ideas and plans.' ([Anthony Cave] Brown, 1975, p. 8)

In short, the men chosen for these key positions not only were from the upper class but frequently had been associated previously.

Churchill's views regarding intelligence operations grew out of his personal experience during World War I and the Gallipoli disaster (a 1915 amphibious landing that failed). His views, which determined in part the type of men he chose for staff positions, were:

"Battles are won by slaughter and manoeuvre. The greater the general, the more he contributes in manoeuvre, the less he demands in slaughter....Nearly all the battles which are regarded as the masterpieces of the military art...have been battles of manoeuvre in which very often the enemy has found himself defeated by some novel expedient or devise, some queer, swift, unexpected thrust or stratagem. In such battles the losses of the victors have been small. There is required for composition of a great commander not only massive common sense and reasoning power, not only imagination, but also an element of legerdemain, an original and sinister touch, which leaves the enemy puzzled as well as beaten....There are many kinds of manoeuvre in war, some only of which take place upon the battlefield. There are manoeuvres far to the flank or rear. There are manoeuvres in time, in diplomacy, in mechanics, in psychology; all of which are removed from the battlefield, but react often decisively upon it, and the object of all is to find easier ways, other than sheer slaughter, of achieving the main purpose." (Brown, 1975, p. 5)

Another of his stated views had a profound influence upon British strategy. "In war-time, truth is so precious that she should always be attended by a bodyguard of lies." (Brown, 1975, p. 10).

President Roosevelt staffed the new intelligence apparatus with the same type of American elites that Churchill staffed the British intelligence apparatus. Training the management and field agents in Britain had the consequence of instilling British values and attitudes from top to bottom in the Office of Strategic Services. From this humble beginning, the role financial interests played in intelligence management decisions and field operations would grow over time into the intentional policy decision to work more closely with international corporations made by specific United States Intelligence Agencies during the 1960's and early 1970's. The CIA, for example, became the union
busting force for United States corporations operating in Central and South America. The standard threat to Central and South American labor union organizers was, “We will kill you, kill all of your family, kill all your animals and burn down all of your buildings.” This threat was quite successful.

This threat resembles the CIA recruitment pitch made to me in 1978. I had just left the University library after checking to see if the bound copy of my Masters Thesis on World War II intelligence operations had been put on the shelf yet. I was told it had been up for three days. I was sitting on a bench in front of the library when an elderly man came up and sat down beside me. He asked if I was James Roger Brown. When I said I was, he said that he had read my Masters Thesis. During the ensuing conversation he stated that he represented the CIA and if I did not come to work for them I would be dead within two weeks. I told him I already worked for God. That was the beginning of my experience with bureaucracy death dealing animosity. Fortunately, I had a foundation of self-preservation skills from my US Army training. I added to those skills from my two other sociology of knowledge specializations, cultural reality models and how cultures define and relate to the spiritual world. I also acquired a variety of timely support from two organizations which I helped by doing some intelligence related problem solving for them. (This should clarify how I can write about death squads and still be alive for those trolls who have added snide comments to some of my previous internet articles.)

With more than 500 years experience in conducting intelligence operations to create and maintain an Empire, Britain’s operations in the Islamic world helped corrupt and expedite its fall from a center of science and mathematics into the current foaming-at-the-mouth terrorists for hire. This is a prime example of the corruption and structural incompetence of British and United States intelligence agencies. When you recruit, train, equip, pay, provide intelligence, and provide transportation for terrorist groups, like ISIS, how can you not be able to predict when and where they are going to conduct a terrorist attack? In large part, this is British blowback hundreds of years in the making.

Although it involves concepts neither you nor intelligence agency employees have probably ever heard of, the answer is simple. How intelligence agencies can appear to be competent while failing is through the use of contrary hypotheses testing. Contrary hypotheses have the logical properties all cannot be true, but all can be false.

I like to use legal codes and crime evidence to demonstrate the problem with contrary hypotheses testing. Law enforcement officers are trained to form a theory of the case when they first visit the crime scene. Detective Ivan Atrain is at the scene investigating the murder of Jimmy Bond. Present at the time of death were Jimmy’s French chemist cousin Moe Lecular Bond, his entertainment industry superstar sister Leather Bond, and his financial wizard brother Municipal Bond.

Detective Atrain forms a theory that one of the family members present is guilty of committing the murder. He writes the following contrary hypotheses in his notebook:

1. Moe Lecular Bond murdered Jimmy Bond.
2. Leather Bond murdered Jimmy Bond.
From this point on, Detective Atrain begins looking for evidence to confirm which family member killed Jimmy Bond. Since Detective Atrain is only looking for evidence to confirm which of the three names on his list is guilty, it should be easy to understand that he can only catch the guilty party if the name is among those identified by his theory of the case. If the guilty name is not on his initial list, Detective Atrain has zero chance of identifying the true perpetrator.

Now, let us examine the situation using paired contradictory hypotheses:

1a. Moe Lecular Bond murdered Jimmy Bond.
1b. Moe Lecular Bond did not murder Jimmy Bond.

2a. Leather Bond murdered Jimmy Bond.
2b. Leather Bond did not murder Jimmy Bond.

3a. Municipal Bond murdered Jimmy Bond.
3b. Municipal Bond did not murder Jimmy Bond.

Each pair of contradictory hypotheses have the logical properties both cannot be true and both cannot be false.

The protocol I developed requires construction of an analysis matrix using the contradictory hypotheses and all the evidentiary propositions of fact. Using the above numbers for each hypothesis, we can construct the following matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPOSITIONS OF FACT</th>
<th>1a</th>
<th>1b</th>
<th>2a</th>
<th>2b</th>
<th>3a</th>
<th>3b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Moe Lecular Bond and Leather Bond made a time stamped video of themselves reenacting a scene from her last erotic movie “69 Shades of Alien Gray” at the time Jimmy Bond was murdered.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Municipal Bond’s cell phone contained a selfie video of him hiding in a closet watching Moe Lecular Bond and Leather Bond reenacting the movie sex scene.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLUMN TOTALS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSIONS:

No contradictory propositions of fact have currently been presented purported to be evidence. The currently identified propositions of fact indicate neither Moe Lecular Bond, Leather Bond nor Municipal Bond were in the immediate vicinity of Jimmy Bond when he was murdered. Detective Atrain’s theory of the case is not supported by the currently known relevant propositions of fact. Additional propositions of fact are required.
to identify the murderer.

END OF EXAMPLE

This simple and brief example should allow everyone to understand the basic concepts involved. You now have a basic grasp of intelligence analysis methodology more advanced than the methodology used by any United States Intelligence Agency. At this time of near universal corruption of government processes, it is time for every man, woman, and child to become your own intelligence analyst. According to his Disciple John (John 8:32 NASB), Jesus had some thoughts on the value of knowing the truth, “... and you will know the truth, and the truth will make you free.” Start practicing on your own until you become a master of these basic skills. If you master the skills to find the truth, no one should be able to deceive you again.

With two additional pieces of information from the sociology of intelligence operations, you should be able to determine when Intelligence Agencies are biting the taxpayer hand that feeds them. Intelligence operations only succeed under one of two social conditions: (1) An intelligence agency develops something that is inconceivable to competing intelligence agencies; or (2) Competing intelligence agencies develop a shared interest in a specific outcome. For example, the successful “sneak” attack on 9/11 could only have occurred with the cooperation of United States Government Officials. If you want to know who is responsible for 9/11, the answer is to be found in Washington, D.C., not the caves and underground bunkers of the Middle East.

With the comprehensive corruption, subversion and diversion of United States Intelligence Agencies’ assets to benefit the interests of World Elites and multinational corporations, Donald Trump has only one chance at a successful term in office. He must force honesty and integrity upon Intelligence Agencies by replacing the fraud tool contrary hypotheses testing with objective contradictory hypotheses testing that will render all fraud attempts, deception, incompetence and malice transparent in his intelligence briefings. To reap the full benefits he should actually require that his intelligence briefing be provided him in the model format of the example provided in this article. You can help President Elect Trump be successful by learning how to do it yourself so you will not be taken in by media deceptions and manipulations.

NOTICE TO READERS AND NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS

For any nonprofit news organization or government integrity organization that contacts me (606-836-7613 or thesociologist@roadrunner.com) within thirty days of publication of this article, I will, at no cost other than possibly expenses, help you set up a permanent internal program to train staff and public supporters how to use contradictory hypotheses testing and how to identify organized crime and organized science fraud methods and procedures integrated into government agencies and programs. For reasonable compensation, I will consider helping for-profit organizations install the same type of permanent training program, depending upon your purpose.
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