Silencing the Independent Voices Of Truth on the BP's Mega Oil Spill, GOM.

- by BK Lim, Trisha Springstead et al (14 Feb 2011, updated 20 Feb 2011)

Three things you can't hide: The Sun, The Moon and The Truth." ~ Buddha. All that is needed for evil to succeed is that decent human beings do nothing. - Edmund Burke

No Nothing is what it seems in the BP's Mega Oil Spill disaster.

Perhaps it was the 14 Jan political overthrow of authoritarian president Zine El-Abidine Ben Ali who ruled Tunisia with an iron fist for the last 23 years and the ensuing popular uprising in the Middle East uprising against tyranny, misrule, corruption and highhandedness. Even the world's 10th largest military could not suppress the civilian uprising which has until today lasted 17 days. President Hosni Mubarak who had a tight control of the Egyptian armed forces is expected to step down any time soon. (He stepped down 2 days after this article was written).

Perhaps the lies and cover-ups of the Gulf Oil Spill disaster are bursting at the seams. Like any cancerous terminal illness, it is no longer possible to cover the lesions and symptoms with "cosmetics". The only escape hatch is to spin and muddle the pool. With sufficient willful ignorance in the world's populace, the perpetrators of the BP's Mega-oil spill disaster could escape scott free just as in Project 911 Disaster. 911 served as the main reason for the War on Terror; triggering the Afghan & Iraqi wars, Homeland Security and Patriot Acts etc which not only affected the US but the whole wide world. Today after more than 10 years, you cannot air-travel as freely without being groped and probed.

Despite the many "hanging and disturbing" questions, PYSOPS were used to put the blame on innocent parties while the masterminds behind the disaster reaped enormous economic windfalls from the "fallout" of the disaster. Americans and the world are still paying the real costs. Project 911 Disaster was so successful there was no question of an encore which had to be bigger, longer and more devastating to generate even bigger windfall. The world was ripe for climatic changes. Gradual climatic changes are non-destructive and do not generate windfalls for those in the know. Catastrophic climatic changes do. Carbon Emission and Global Warming schemes exploit climate change. Instead of conservation, splurging is encouraged so that our excesses can be taxed profitably. Mega-disasters are the new trends in generating mega-windfalls with sinister motives in case you have not noticed.

Project Gulf Disaster

What better way to herald the onset of a global catastrophic climate change by triggering an already precarious world with an apparently accidental mega-disaster? If Project 911 disaster was planned prior to Bush being elected in 2000, then Project Gulf Disaster must have been planned soon after 911 was successfully executed. It was planned to explode in October of 2009 within the first year of the new president. It would have been the new president's 1011 to further erase persistent doubts that Bush's 911 was preplanned.

Would they risk the success of Project Gulf Disaster by the growing independent voices of truth in the Mega-Oil spill? The answer is NO.

Stamping out the independent voices of truth.

After more than 7 months of trying to stamp out the independent voices of truth in BP's mega oil spill without success, the powers behind the Project Gulf Disaster had to act urgently before the growing masses of "non-believers in the controlled mainstream media" become unmanageable. Whatever their

fears, determined efforts to silence the independent voices of truth on the BP's Mega Oil Spill were stepped up especially after 19th Sept 2010 when the premature pronouncement of the dead wells were proven to be blatant deception meant for public consumption. The dead wells were alive and leaking!

The increasing health problems being reported by the gulf victims, scientific findings of higher than expected oil/corexit tainted gulf water, increasing evidences of a dying gulf and unprecedented occurrences of shallow low magnitude earthquakes cannot be natural nor coincidental. They are testimonies of a massively leaking reservoir; confirming our worst fears.

While it might have been possible to gag random voices of truth and cover the initial localised consequences of the disaster, it would be difficult to dismiss a dead gulf stream, mass deaths of birds and fishes and extreme weather conditions (among other abnormalities) worldwide. Was the recent worst flood disaster in Queensland part of the chain of events triggered by BP's mega oil spill disaster?

Sounds far-fetched? Not if you understand the logical analyses on how the world not only inherited 3 leaking wells through willful negligence, but through incompetence intertwined with vested business interests, fears of job losses, cover-ups and greed, BP had "unwittingly" engineered the world's largest network of faulted-fractured pathways for "natural" oil and gas seeps. Impossible? Then consider this simple basic fact.

Is it possible for oil/gas gushing out of an 9-7/8 inch casing well to stop the massive gulf stream? Art Berman (a pro-BP apologist) in his attempt to justify a lower estimated flow from the blown well, reproduced statistics from MMS on more than 8,700 wells drilled in the Gulf of Mexico since 1996. The highest known flow rate per well is approximately 100,000 bpd (barrels per day). See Estimated Oil Flow from the BP Mississippi Canyon Block 252 "Macondo" Well.

He nevertheless, omitted the fact that there were 3 leaking wells **NOT ONE**, when the article was published on 29 June 2010. His most reasonable estimate was 40,000 – 50,000 bpd for one well. With 3 gushing wells, Art Berman's total flow rate would have been 120,000 to 150,000 bpd.

But would 3 vertical columns of oil at 150,000 bpd be able to stop the mighty gulf stream which moves 30 million cu metres of water per sec or 188.7 million barrels per sec? 150,000 bpd translates to only 0.28 cu.metres per sec (or just 0.000001% of the Gulf Stream flow). No wonder Tony Hayward said the leak was only a tiny drop in the ocean.

Further, if the oil had not been dispersed with Corexit, much of the spill would have streamed up to the sea surface and removed from the water column. Surface oil layers would have no significant effect in stopping the largely thermohaline circulation driven gulf-stream flow at 30 million cu metres of water per sec (Wikipedia). Stripped of technical jargon or adulterated data/statistics, such simple logic show the Project Gulf Disaster for what it truly is; a mega fraud designed for mega-financial gains with sinister mega motives with mega collateral damages.

So how did the Gulf Stream die?

The fact that the Gulf Stream flow did stop is proof that a lot more oil and gas did flow out of the reservoirs than officially declared. The amount of oil spilled had to be more (greater than 150,000 bpd) but not all came out through the wells. The 10inch casing could not accommodate such massive flows.

By drilling into a known geohazardous gas-hydrate Salt-Geology, for so many months (from Oct 2009 to April 2010) without adequate cement-protection against hydraulic continuity between gas-saturated

strata, BP had negligently allowed corrosive "brine-gas" fluid to permeate and erode the highly porous, faulted and fractured Salt geology. The oil and gas leaking out of these newly "created" (eroded) pathways to the ocean bottom are more enormous than what we can imagine.

Ask yourself these simple questions.

If the "oil leak" had been fully sealed on 15 Sept 2010 as promulgated, what were 4 expensive drilling rigs with 5 wells-drilling capacity and many more supporting vessels doing at the Macondo site? Why were 2 drilling rigs (Development Driller III and Development Enterprise) still at site 3 months later?

All these confirmed the oil and gas had not stopped leaking from the reservoir and neither has the widespread hydrates stopped vaporising into poisonous methane gas. The leakages and vaporisation should be increasing logically. The fact that no marine surveys were commissioned to quantitatively assess the seabed, 9 months after the blowout, is itself strange and worrying, not only for the gulf residents but the world as well.

Immediately after the disaster, **good-intentioned BP's managers** wanted to contain and collect the oil through 3 giant containment domes at 3 spill locations. Why does the number "3" appear so often? The containment recovery method would have exposed the fact that 3 wells were drilled.

Officially it was the problem of hydrate crystals clogging the oil flow or collection. But insiders' information revealed that the first of the 3 containment domes, was still parked on a clear seabed a km away from the spill area when BP announced on 8 May that the containment efforts had failed. The significance of this containment failure escaped public attention even though it was mentioned in at least 3 past articles. Exactly how did hydrates form with no supply of methane gas? If it did, hydrates would be forming on every piece of equipment on the Macondo seabed. Make sense?

BP's mega-oil spill disaster has been referred to as the Crime of the Century. The dome containment effort did not fail. How could it fail when it was not never deployed over the leaking location? Again another simple logic.

Deploying the first containment dome over the "worst leak location" would have exposed the blown out crater at S20BC location, 720 ft NW of well A. Deploying 3 containment domes would reveal 3 well locations on the seabed and **not 3 leakage points on the 5,000ft riser** as reported by the mainstream media. You do not need 3 numbers of multimillion dollar containment domes if the 3 leakages were on the single riser. By cutting off the riser, you get only one **Leakage**. Elementary isn't it?

If containment is not the way to go, why would BP announced on 20 Sept 2010 (4 months later) its intention to join the Marine Well Containment Company (MWCC) and made its underwater well containment equipment available to all oil and gas companies operating in the Gulf of Mexico? If well containment was useable why abandon the containment effort altogether instead of using it to minimise environmental damage while the relief wells were still in progress?

Why allow the oil and gas to gush uncontrollably for so many months before the well was capped or killed? Well A which was drilled to only 5,000ft BML could have been killed as early as May. But a dead Well A would have exposed a 3rd undisclosed well S20BC, 720 ft NW of well A. Corexit had to be used to disperse and hide the oil gushing out of the third well. How would it look if Well A was dead and yet the sea was still covered with oil?

Was that the primary reason BP was so insistent on using Corexit even after EPA had withdrawn permission for its usage? Environmental friendly alternatives were not considered for the same reasons.

Production Of Corexit By Nalco Which Is Associated With Bp And Exxon.

In just 3 months after the Deepwater Horizon exploded on April 20, 2010, BP pumped nearly 2 million gallons of Corexit into the Gulf of Mexico (The Examiner, 25 July 2010).

The \$64 million question is, "If corexit had been banned by UK more than a decade ago, and its adverse effects widely known after the Exxon Valdez spill in 24 March 1989, would any company find it profitable to continue producing a banned product in large quantities?" Even storing hazardous liquids would be expensive.

How could such toxic dispersant (with no other industrial application) be available in such large quantities so soon after the blowout? Is it not strange for a company known for aggressive cost cutting to have such large quantities of dispersant on standby as if a major oil spill was expected?

Then again BP's safety policy require a well to be relocated if there was a drilling risk. Surely an exploration well cannot be that important it cannot be moved by 500m or even 1 km? Well locations are moved all the time on perceived drilling risks. So what purpose did the geohazards assessment and survey serve? Were the geohazards survey specifically for the Macondo Wells even conducted? Insider's information suggested none were carried out. Most international safety policies (including BP's) employ "Better be safe than sorry" principle. So why would BP choose the expensive and risky option of drilling into trouble rather than the **prudent option of a safer location**?

Was it just plain business acuteness or pre-disaster rammed up production of Corexit? Many had asked the "profit motive" of a preplanned disaster. Isn't all the economic windfalls obvious?

The Gulf Stream would not have died if Corexit was not used in such large quantities.

If the oil and gas had been collected at the wells instead of the phoney Top-Kill, or even allowed to rise quickly to the surface, the **Gulf Stream would not have died**. It died because the oil and gas were dispersed and allowed to accumulate within the water column, effectively homogenizing and destroying the thermohaline stratification needed for the gulf stream to circulate. If BP had not used dispersant, the oil would have surfaced, washed ashore and removed from the water column instead accumulating and mixing within the gulf-stream water column.

The rov evidences showed even more gas vents along all the fault lines. On a single 2-hour Rov surveillance tour (labelled as biological survey), the Rov traversed back and forth from Well A to at least 7 gas vents (at 10-15 deg intervals). All the gas vents had been marked with guide ropes and marker buoys; confirming BP knew more about the gas vents than they care to admit.

So how much methane vaporised from hydrates due to the warming effect of the hot hydrocarbons and how much light hydrocarbons (radioactive?) escaped from the reservoir? It is impossible to estimate accurately. Minimal estimates arrived at, are quite staggering.

It is the combined effect of dispersed oil, continuous gas-venting along the fault lines and edges of the salt domes over hundreds of sq km and the continual vaporisation of hydrate to gaseous methane that knocked out the gulf-stream. Not the puny gas leak gushing out of well A which mermerised the world for 187 days. The total volume of escaping gas over the whole Macondo prospect would be hundreds of time larger than the total volume of oil leaked out through the wells and fault zones.

In our past articles, we had demonstrated the potent sub-seabed erosion of escaping light hydrocarbon

and brine (from formation) through the faulted and porous Salt domes. If containment efforts had been used in earnest instead indiscriminate "killing of the zombie wells" the environmental and climatic consequences would have been far less damaging. Rather than reveal the truth about the 3 wells, did BP herald the onset of **Catastrophic Climate** by engineering the world's largest network of faulted-fractured pathways for **unnatural oil and gas seeps?**

The faster than normal erosion within the formation and sub-seabed, stretching from 18000 ft BSL to the seabed has far-reaching effect; more drastic than the masterminds of Project Gulf Disaster BP had anticipated. The abnormal speedy depletion of mass which is significantly more pronounced in the upper formation and sub-seabed, has two important consequences.

For millions of years, the south-eastern part of the North American tectonic plate (south-east of the New Madrid Fault line) has been thrusting in a north-easterly direction while the western half of the plate has been moving south along the San Andreas fault line. This is the main reason for the present gulf seabed morphology.

The resultant torsion tension is reflected by the fault pattern illustrated in a previous article <u>BP's Rigs Location & Fault Connection</u>. Besides lateral stresses, vertical stresses also developed between the upper and lower section of the tectonic blocks due to differential upper and lower slide movement. The abnormal occurrences of hundreds of shallow and low magnitude earthquakes since the Macondo blowout are the direct consequence of the continual release of these pent-up stress zones. FEMA recently sent out RFI (request for information) to identify vendors for the emergency supply of food rations, various fuels and hydration in support of disaster relief efforts based on a catastrophic disaster event within the New Madrid Fault system for a survivor population of 7 million to be utilised for the sustainment of life during a 10-day period of operations. Is FEMA having privileged information of an impending disaster in the New Madrid Fault area, 9 months after the BP's Mega-oil Spill disaster?

If the blown-well had not been blocked, the problem would have been easily and safely resolved. But safe recovery was not in BP's best interest; covering their mistakes and bad intentions were.

BP's continued denial and cover-ups had triggered a chain of calamities not only in the US but all over the world starting with abnormally cold and prolonged winter in the Northern Hemisphere, followed by the worst floods in the documented history of northern Australia.

Major volcanic eruptions had worldwide climatic consequences lasting for years. Why would this massive underground eruption and continuous spewing of oil and gas spanning hundreds of sq km for over 9 months be any different? We choose to be willfully ignorant to our own peril.

Some words of wisdom from Dr Thomas B Manton (TBM), a Gulf Hero and Public Critic who was recently murdered after being framed-up in late 2007. His frame-up and murder confirm Project Gulf Disaster was planned years earlier by powers that spanned beyond BP and the present US Administration.

"Manton was particularly distressed that the U.S. Federal Government allowed BP to completely take charge of the <u>Gulf of Mexico</u> oil spill disaster area, as his experience taught him that the offending oil company should never be given command over the oil spill response."

"BP has done more damage to harm the American homeland than al-Qaeda could ever do."

"We learned very quickly the difference between we Americans, who prefer to contain and collect spilt

oil, and the British who preferred the use of dispersant to try to make it disappear in the ocean."

Nine months after the onset of the oil/gas eruptions, the climatic consequences of Project Gulf Disaster are starting to show. Fishes, dolphins, whales and birds are dying in masses. There are sound geological reasons for all these observed abnormalities. The people in the oil industry know the reasons but are not telling for fear of their jobs and lives. The top advocates of truth in this disaster are dead for a number of good reasons; but primarily to serve as a deterrent to would be whistle-blowers.

Deregulations and "Thou Shalt Not Fail" command.

Meanwhile before the masses start to connect the dots and ask the really difficult questions, the independent voices of truth needed to be silenced first. Detractors repeatedly pointed out that TBM was arrested more than 2 years before the Gulf Disaster. Like any preplanned alibi, such prepaid defenses are not as solid on close scrutiny. A MIHOP (make it happen on purpose) disaster requires more skill, experience, effort and time in planning than a routine exploration well. Project Gulf Disaster needed years of planning with the right collaborators in place. It was no coincidence Tony Hayward took over as BP's CEO in early 2007.

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/robert-f-kennedy-jr/sex-lies-and-oil-spills_b_564163.html Then, between January and March of 2001, incoming Vice President Dick Cheney conducted secret meetings with over 100 oil industry officials allowing them to draft a wish list of industry demands to be implemented by the oil friendly administration. Cheney also used that time to re-staff the Minerals Management Service with oil industry toadies including a cabal of his Wyoming carbon cronies. In 2003, newly reconstituted Minerals Management Service genuflected to the oil cartel by recommending the removal of the proposed requirement for acoustic switches. The Minerals Management Service's 2003 study concluded that "acoustic systems are not recommended because they tend to be very costly."

It was no coincidence Vice President Dick Cheney started to dismantle and relax stringent offshore regulations as far back as 2003. Even with an outdated (pre-2000) stringent offshore regulations and high HSE standards, minor random accidents and blowouts could still happen. But no way could a long series of "coincidental safety lapses and human mistakes" escalate into a major environmental disaster needed for Project Gulf Disaster. For this to happen, all control "floodgates" must be opened at the right time at the right places without arousing suspicions. Now that would be difficult without a subservient "watchdog" (MMS?) and a cooperative media that asks only the "right" questions.

Deregulations, bottom-line/profitability and "cost-cutting measures" come in handy. Was it any wonder the door sign at Tony Hayward's office read "What would you attempt to do if you knew you could not fail"? Was this supposed to push his men to failure? He must have had countless problems with professional managers who would have objected to his seemingly unsafe, risky and reckless exploration management. Surely there would be true professionals who disagreed with the locations of the Macondo wells and saw the potential problems and drilling risks. Tony Hayward probably overrode all their safety concerns pointing to his door sign. It was like saying "Go ahead and jump off the cliff. You will not die". This was by itself a blatant violation of BP's or any international standard HSE policy.

As President of the International Oil Spill Control Corporation, TBM was perceived as a major obstacle to the success of Project Gulf Disaster, if he chose to be uncooperative and to speak out.

TBM needed to be reined with a noose around his neck, in order to secure his cooperation. TBM was tricked into a plea bargain in late May, about a month after the 20 April 2010 blowout. He accepted the plea bargain (with a promise of no jail sentence) so that he could concentrate his effort on the oil spill remediation effort. **Now that is a real hero**.

He could have fought the court battles to prove his innocence but the victims of the gulf disaster were more important to him. There are good fundamental reasons why TBM's pornography conviction will not hold but being computer illiterate, TBM was convinced it was a Slam Dunk for the prosecution.

Why TBM & Matt Simmons needed to be silenced?

There was never any need to jail or kill TBM even as late as July 2010 since BP was still confident of killing the spewing 3rd well covertly before the first week of August. The relief wells were drilled towards this S20BC well at 18,000ft BML and not Well A. To ensure the "Dog and Pony" show at Well A was convincing enough, the relief wells had to intercept and bottom-kill the runaway well first. Nothing was left to chance; certainly not in full view of billions around the globe.

Relief well C intercepted the spewing well covertly in early July but BP lied for almost 2½ months that the much-awaited relief-well intercept happened only on 15 Sept. Even BP's believers were left wondering why it took so long to intercept from a mere 4 feet distance. But suckers are suckers no matter how bad the bovine shit is?

Ships evacuated from Gulf as tropical storm advances - 23 July 2010

Dozens of ships in the Gulf of Mexico are preparing to leave the site of the BP oil spill as Tropical Storm Bonnie gathers pace. http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-us-canada-10729485

The first relief well is only 4ft from the damaged well horizontally, but more work needs to be done before it can be used for a "kill" to stop the flow permanently. A final piece of casing needs to be cemented in place at the bottom of the relief well.

BP executive Kent Wells said on Thursday the oil giant had been given permission to begin preparing for "static" kill - pumping mud into the top of the well through the new cap - which is being viewed as an intermediate measure.

Just when success was within grasp, the "relief well-bottom kill" started to falter and did not hold as predicted by many. Well A which was "top-capped and shut tight" on 15 July, was also proving to be highly uncooperative. The well pressure did not rise to the expected 8.000-9,000 psi range (perceived as a moved goal post by many).

Could an openly gushing well connected to a reservoir with 13,000 psi pressure, peaked at less than 7,000 psi when capped, even if it was leaking? After 41 hours the well pressure rose slowly to 6745 psi; at about 2 psi per hour. The strange well pressure readings could not be explained by an 18,000ft sealed (or leaking) well connected to a deep high pressured reservoir. It can only be explained by a 5,000ft well with an open (1000ft) wellbore in hydraulic connection with a shallow gas-saturated weak formation (GWSF) zone.

Below is an extract from: Alexhiggins blog on the integrity well test

"Retired Adm. Thad Allen, the national incident commander, has said that a pressure reading of 8,000 or 9,000 pounds per square inch (psi) inside the new cap would be ideal, while a pressure reading of 6,000 psi would indicate leakage. But pressures in an intermediate range would create ambiguity and force officials to make a tough decision on whether to keep the well shut in or open it back up and resort once again to containment operations."

The Art of Mass Deception.

The plan was to showcase the "successfully killed well" at Well B which was already plugged and

abandoned in mid March 2010. There were several video footages of the BOP (partially dismantled) near well B; prompting many to ask questions on the "**busily travelling BOP**". BP had cautioned undesirable consequences if the BOP at Well A was removed before the well was killed at the bottom by the relief wells.

After Hurricane Bonnie, many observers were surprised the coordinates of the capped well approximated to Well B instead of Well A before the hurricane pull-out. Matt Simmons appeared on mainstream media crying foul play on a moved "goal post". He was labelled a "mad person" for crying out "the emperor wore no clothes" while the rest of the world could see the "magnificent invisible golden robes" so finely woven it was practically transparent.

Worried their "Grand Phoney Finale" of killing Well A would fall apart and expose their crime of mass deception, BP set out to destroy the credibility of the Gulf Disaster's foremost critic, the Late Matt Simmons. It was no coincidence that The Oil Drum (TOD) published "A critical Examination of Matt Simmons' Claims on the Deepwater Spill" on 29 July2010 for Simmons to be publicly humiliated and severely trashed by his peers in the oil industry.

Just when they thought the last flame of truth had been snuffed out, the article Why is the BP's Macondo Blowout So Disastrous and Beyond PatchUp dated 25 July, was published the following day on 30 July. The article received an unprecedented 6,000% jump in website visits. Why? Because of its accurate depiction of the hazardous Salt geology in explaining the Macondo gas blowout. BP's schills immediately jumped in at all the blog forums to stamp out the "fire" but it was too late.

Shocked by the accuracy of the qualitative geological model and the wide support by pro-Simmons bloggers, BP thought the counter-attack must have been preplanned based on breached confidential information. To make matters worse, the supposedly killed well (S20BC) started spewing again on 2nd Aug with an abnormal earthquake event at Louisiana. An embarrassed BP had no choice but to showcase the **phony well kill** back at Well A which predictedly flopped. Thad Allen and BP were at a loss to explain the 40% cement loss at well A.

How could 200 barrels of cement be lost to seabed, out of 500 barrels pumped into an open well 18,000 ft long? Only an open-bottom Well A drilled 5,000 ft deep (BML) could explain the severe cement loss to seabed and BP was desperate to cover this **critical fact and sore thumb**.

For the first time since the 20 April blowout, Project Gulf Disaster was at risk of being exposed as a Mega Fraud. Drastic urgent steps had to be taken to stamp out the rapidly growing flame of skepticism.

On 6 Aug 2010, TBM was sentenced to an unduly harsh 15 years jail time; effectively silencing a shocked innocent man who changed his "not guilty" plea in late May in exchange for a fine and no jail time. Two days later Matt Simmons was dead in a hot bath tub. Ex-senator Ted Stevens died in a plane crash 2 days later on 10 Aug 2010?

Another coincidence? Heck No!

A few days before Matt Simmons' death, the late Ted Stevens had announced he was going to donate all his senate and personal papers to the University of Alaska for research. The following day, BP followed with a One Million USD donation for the purpose of cataloging the papers from Ted Stevens' four-decade long <u>political career</u>. With a long tainted history, the cataloging move was suspiciously seen as a preemptive move to control exposure on BP's wrong-doings.

tpmdc.talkingpointsmemo.com/2010/08/reports-sen-ted-stevens-may-have-been-in-plane-crash.php

Former Sen. Stevens knew BP was cheating on BOP tests. Could he have been whacked because what he knew ties directly into the Gulf oil disaster?

The 48-year-old veteran oil worker claims that in the oil industry, particularly at BP, "the culture is basically safety procedures are shoved down your throat and then they look the other way when it's convenient for them." He claims that oil operators often wouldn't report spills and that when he spilled chemical fluid in 2003, he was told by his superiors not to report it. Mason, who now runs a small operation hauling freight in the Alaskan bush and owns guest cabins, says he was fired by a drilling company in 2006 after he wrote a letter to the editor of the Anchorage Daily News to condemn the firm for incorporating overseas and thereby avoiding taxes.

Mason and another oil worker provided sworn statements in a 2003 lawsuit that rig supervisors "routinely falsified reports to show equipment designed to prevent blowouts was passing statementated performance tests," reported the Wall Street Journal in 2005.

Mason was interviewed by the Alaska Oil and Gas Conservation Commission in 2005 during a probe into allegations that Nabors Drilling, a subcontractor to BP, falsified such tests, among other claims that BP failed to report blowouts at the massive Prudhoe Bay oil field. The probe was spurred by oil industry critic Charles Hamel, who forwarded his allegation to then-Senator Ted Stevens of Alaska.

www.huffingtonpost.com/2010/05/12/bp-whistleblower-claimed_n_573839.html

BP Gives \$1 Million to UAF to process Ted Steven's papers. British Petroleum is donating \$1 million to the University of Alaska Fairbanks to catalog the papers from Ted Stevens' four-decade political career.

Stevens sent more than 4,500 boxes of documents to the university's library. Without the BP grant, the Rasmuson Library told The Fairbanks Daily News-Miner it would have taken decades to sort. www.ktuu.com/Global/story.asp?S=11549859

Could all these be coincidental? The weeks of silence that followed was taken as a sign that the deterrents had worked.

Now to silence the remaining Independent Voices Of Truth

The independent voices of truth did not die down as hoped. Instead there was steady stream of technically-precise missile strikes at the glaring flaws in BP's bathmetry, flawed shallow assessment and the investigation reports. BP also had a hard time explaining the presence of 4 expensive drilling rigs at the Macondo site in November. Could the 24-Nov plane crash at Destin, Florida, killing BP's director of operations for BP's Gulf Coast Restoration Organisation (Jim Black) be coincidental as well?

Dr Termotto's article <u>The Gulf Of Mexico Is Dying</u> posted on 1st December, generated tremendous readers' response and rekindled waning public interests on the disaster. Interest on the Gulf disaster started to pick up again after the Christmas and new year holidays following the abnormally cold winter in Europe; disrupting travel and closing airports during this busy travel period. By January, BP's investigation and the Oil Commission reports were being systematically torn apart at fundamental levels. Some of the key articles posted in Dec 2010 to 13 Feb 2011 are listed below:

- 11 Dec2010 Urgent Radioactive Oil From Bp Blowout
- 11 Dec2010 Compelling Arguments: A Disaster Made to Happen on Purpose (MIHOP)
- 28 Dec2010 Energetic Effects Of The BP Gulf Oil Spill On The Gulf Of Mexico
- 28 Dec2010 Fossil Fuel Theory For Oil Gas Debunked
- 15 Jan2011 A Letter To Congressmen on BP Mega Oil Spill
- 18 Jan2011 Disturbing simple facts and errors in BP and Oil Commission reports
- 19 Jan2011 Gulf tragedy media blackout what to do
- 20 Jan2011 A BP Gulf Oil Spill Hero Becomes The Subject Of Assassination
- 21 Jan2011 In Honor of Dr. Thomas B. Manton (TBM), a True Modern American Hero
- 26 Jan2011 BP Knowingly Drilled Macondo MC 252 In High Risk Geohazard Area
- 28 Jan2011 Awesome Global Oil Briefing By Dr Steffen Bukold
- 28 Jan2011 Geology So Fractured With Unstable Salt Formations-May Never Fully Kill Well
- 28 Jan2011 Norpa Environ Investigator Alerted MMS To Dangers Of Continental Shelf Drilling
- 28 Jan2011 Lawsuit Begins BP's Texas Refinery Released 530000 Pounds Of Chemicals
- 29 Jan2011 The Hidden Crisis In The Gulf
- 30 Jan2011 Coalition Of Enviro Citizens And Political Groups Demand Corexit Use Be Stopped
- 30 Jan2011 SOS May Explain Five Serious Unanswered Gulf Oil Spill Questions We Do Not Know
- 01 Feb2011 A Critical Review Of Bps Oil Commissions Blowout Investigation Reports
- 02 Feb2011 A Tribute To An American Hero (Dr Thomas B Manton) Of BP Gulf Oil Spill
- 09 Feb2011 The Effects Of Our Toxic Environmental Bio-Terrain On Life
- 13 Feb2011 Massive Flux Gas Addition Liquid

Following the late Matt Simmons' suspicious death on 8 August 2010, everyone in the Independent Voices Of Truth group was constantly reminded of possible infiltrators and threats to their normal lives and computers. BK in particular had experienced several attempts on his life for carrying out his professional duties as a QC consultant in the oil industry. His experience was quoted to serve as a warning and the seriousness of such threats within a seemingly respectable oil industry.

Despite making police reports based on documented evidences in several countries and making several whistle-blower reports to the oil companies, his own employer (Geohazards QC Consultant Firm), the world's largest geohazards contractors and various government departments in the respective countries, no actions or prosecutions were ever taken for the blatant safety abuses, medical and data frauds, corruption, improprieties, infractions, willful negligence and HSE deception. We are not talking about 3rd world countries but first world countries within the top 15 list of the world's least corrupt countries.

The worst offenders are major oil companies and the offending contractors and consultants are leaders in their respective fields. It shows how high, far and wide, corrupt oil power had infiltrated into modern society and governments of the world. Without such high level oiled corruption, Project Gulf Disaster could not even be planned, let alone be executed with such wide ranging power as we had seen since April 2010. Modern high speed internet, strategic global coordination and dissemination of distorted truths by mainstream media helped to dowse the ever-willing to be deceived populace. **Ignorant bliss lasts only as long as the calm before the storm**. That storm is near.

The Oil Empire Strikes back

The Oil Empire could not sit back and watch helplessly as the Independent Voices Of Truth Websites gain in popularity while their carefully crafted deceptions got torn apart, one by one with each revealing posting. There were increasing reports of computer breaches by members of the independent group.

After increasing signs of covert retaliations by the Oil Empire, Dr Tom finally secured BK's permission to make public his endangered status in mid January. On 19 Jan during a Rense.com radio interview, Dr Tom publicly discussed BK's fugitive status and threats on his life. The next day we received news that TBM had passed away on 19 Jan 2011 after suffering quietly from extreme head trauma inflicted by a fellow prisoner assailant. The timing of TBM's assault seemed highly suspicious. Was BM's assault and subsequent death meant to serve as reminder?

Two days later, several computers within the Independent Voices of Truth group were repeatedly attacked for weeks. Then on 7 Feb, Dr Tom was publicly slandered just like Late Matt Simmons was, prior to his "assassination". Could such concerted attacks on members of the independent group mean the messages of truth were finally getting out to the wider concerned public? Were these attempts to silence the independent voices of truth? In an email dated 17 Feb, Dr Tom Termotto reported he had gone completely underground, fearing for his life. There has been no news from him since then.

If you are not with them, then you are against them. Independent Voices not Tolerated.

Is it another coincidence that another prominent coastal scientist Gregory Stone with LSU passed away of an unknown illness on 18 Feb 2011? In the coming months, the game of blame will be played out in the courts. Do we remain **Willfully Blind** as in the **Tale of 3 BP's Wells** or like the Bankers in Bernie Madoff's Mega Fraud?

Deceased LSU scientist refused BP contract, warned others they "need to be very, very careful" February 20th, 2011 at 11:12 AM

In a meeting this summer with BP representatives to discuss a possible contract, LSU coastal scientist Gregory Stone was asked about keeping his work confidential for three years. ...

Stone, director of the LSU Coastal Studies Institute for the School of the Coast and Environment, decided that entering into any side contract with BP would go against his "good conscience." ...

Stone warned that colleagues should think twice. He acknowledged "some consternation" on the issue that has resulted in varying faculty opinions.

University professor Gregory Stone dies, LSU Reveille, February 18, 2011:

The LSU community is reeling after the sudden death Thursday of prominent LSU coastal scientist Gregory Stone. Stone, who was quoted extensively in many publications internationally after last year's BP oil leak, was the director of the renowned Wave-Current Information System. ...

"We're all dazed and stunned," [Chris D'Elia, dean of the LSU School of the Coast and Environment] said. "He was a lynchpin at this place. It's a tremendous loss. He was loved by his staff. People who worked with him adored him." D'Elia said Stone was admitted into intensive care late last week from an unknown illness. The thought was that Stone was improving but then news came that he died Thursday, D'Elia said. ...

Our lives are in extreme danger for being independent and bringing the truths on the Gulf Mega Oil Spill to the world. As we dig deeper into a seemingly "accidental disaster" we find the oil business to be much dirtier than thought. After more than 140 years, the oil industry has morphed into a global uncontrollable monster that has the world tightly under its clutch. With each crude oil price hike, the increase in oil pressure pushes out a few more safety catches in the system. As we have seen in BP's Mega-oil spill and the negligent manner in which BP conducted its oil exploration program, safety in form and not in spirit is no safety at all. BP is not the only culprit in this **greedy pursuit of oily profits by any means**.

This is a disaster that need not have happened and should not have happened. But it did happen. There

are valuable lessons to be learned but most important of all, **this disaster has not ended and the long term health and environmental effects need world attention to force a comprehensive remediation**, not just superficial compensation. The hydrocarbons leakage from the deep reservoir and methane vaporisation from the warming effect of that leakage have not stopped yet.

Allowing the culprits of this disaster to get back their life of luxurious yacht sailing while the Gulf victims suffer in silence, is **gross injustice of the highest degree**. Will the Gulf Disaster go the way of Piper Alpha, Exxon Valdez, Bhopal and countless other environmental disasters; forgotten and ignored, only to be repeated years later?

Covert white-collar **CRIMES OF MASS DESTRUCTION** are unscrupulously profitable and all our laws had not been formulated to deal with this cancer of modern society. Our energy and attention had been overly zapped and focused on the War on Terror, that we (as guardian of our children's freedom, safety and future) lapsed in our duty to be on a constant look out for infractions by **enemies from within the top echelon of society**.

Only through the collective efforts of global citizens worldwide, can we avert the next mega disaster; whether man-made or naturally accidental. Even if you do not entirely agree with our analyses, there is no harm in making the oil and other industries safer by practicing HSE in true spirit and not only in form. Increasing offshore regulations without understanding the root problem of industry-wide abuses would only increase cost with no tangible improvement in safeguarding the environment.

An immediate total ban to offshore drilling is not the solution either. Reviewing the abundant offshore data would be the first step to understanding the challenges we face before taking concrete steps to solving the problem. No amount of sabotage or evil-planning can succeed if everyone of us is civil enough to report willful negligence when we see it.

"Willful Blindness" as Bernand Madoff coined it is simply not acceptable. We must all take responsibility for our own environment. We cannot delegate custody of mother nature to the oil companies. It would be asking the Fox to guard the chicken coop. We must ask questions so tough no regulators would dare to derelict on their duties to act promptly and fairly on whistleblowers' reports. There is simply no substitution for **Prevention**.

The arch-swindler Bernard Madoff could not have bilked thousands of investors of billions of dollars without the "willful blindness" of scores of banks and hedge funds which put their own clients into the fraudster's fake investment business. In his own words "the attitude was sort of -If you're doing something wrong, we don't want to know". Read more at From his jail cell, Madoff points finger at the banks. This is precisely what BK had been reporting on the malaise affecting the Oil Industry.

Project Gulf Disaster was an investment fraud with a technological twist. The difference; the perpetrators walked free to enjoy their spoils despite the devastating effect because the WORLD IS NOT AWARE and DO NOT CARE ENOUGH. Now that the truth is known, do we stand in solidarity with the innocent gulf victims or remain passively in our comfort zones? Today it may be the Gulf of Mexico, but quite definitely, a mega-disaster might be coming to a neighborhood near you, if the oily business behind the Oil Industry is allowed to get any messier.

This article has been compiled from more than 9 months of research work, feedbacks, insiders' information and communications (emails, voice, radioblogs etc). Its message of **Safety of Our Environment in Our Own Hands** is sponsored and supported by: BK LIM, Trisha Springstead RN

MS, Rense.com, Dr Bill Deagle, Rhea Aker, Irving Edwards, Jay Bao, Mark of Tolerance, MR \dots and many others who cannot to be named publicly.			